

REMARKS/AMENDMENTS

In this response the applicant has canceled all previously outstanding claims (1-20) and has added new claim 21.

Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to claims 6 and 7. Without prejudice or disclaimer, the applicant has canceled claims 6 and 7.

Claim Rejections—35 USC §112

The Examiner has rejected claim 7. Without prejudice or disclaimer, the applicant has canceled claim 7.

Claim Rejections—35 USC §102

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 5, 6-10 and 12-18 as being anticipated by Sachen Jr. Without prejudice or disclaimer, the applicant has canceled claims 1, 2, 5, 6-10 and 12-18.

Claim Rejections--35 USC § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 3, 4, 11, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). Without prejudice or disclaimer, the applicant has canceled claims 3, 4, 11, 19 and 20.

New Claim

The applicant has added new claim 21. As discussed in the instant application, one problem often faced by a customer making an initial purchase of a multi-monitor

display system is whether to purchase a single monitor or a multi-monitor system. A customer may face similar dilemmas in choosing between multi-monitor systems (e. g. between a two-monitor and a three-monitor system). Multi-monitor systems are typically more costly, and the customer is often uncertain as to whether such a system is needed. If the customer purchases a single-monitor system, however, and then realizes that a multi-monitor system would be advantageous, the customer may have to buy a complete multi-monitor system, with the monitor from the first, single-monitor system being a wasted expense.

Claim 21 provides a method that addresses this issue. In particular, a monitor is provided having an engagement means screwed into screw holes on the back of the monitor. After the engagement means is unscrewed, a mounting bracket is screwed into the screw holes at the rear of the monitor. Finally, the monitor can be mounted to an arm of a multi-display system. Thus, although the monitor could not initially be integrated into the multi-display system, the method of claim 21 provides a way to do so.

Neither Sachen nor Smed recognize the problem and the solution afforded by the method in claim 21 of integrating a monitor into a multi-display system. Therefore, favorable consideration of new claim 21 is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Jerry Moscovitch